arXiv:1211.5639v1 [math.DS] 24 Nov 2012

THE DYNAMICS OF DOUBLY STOCHASTIC OPERATORS ON A FINITE DIMENSIONAL SIMPLEX.

FARRUH SHAHIDI AND RASUL GANIKHODJAEV

Abstract. We define a doubly stochastic operator on a finite dimensional simplex and study the limit behavior of the trajectories under doubly stochastic operators. We prove that except for certain points, the trajectory of a point, under the doubly stochastic operator, tends to the center of the simplex.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A51, 15A63, 47H60, 46T05.
Key words: majorization, Lyapunov function, doubly stochastic operator, trajectory, periodic point.

1. Introduction

A *quadratic stochastic operator* is defined as:

$$(Vx)_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} p_{ij,k} x_i x_j,$$

where

$$x \in S^{m-1} = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m) \in R^m : x_i \geq 0, \ \forall i = \overline{1, m}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = 1\}$$

and the coefficients $p_{ij,k}$ satisfy the following conditions

$$p_{ij,k} = p_{ji,k} \geq 0, \ \ \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{ij,k} = 1.$$

This kind of operators arise in many models of population genetics [5] and the main problem is to study the dynamics, that is the limit behavior of the trajectories of quadratic stochastic operators which is rather difficult. Note that a lot of papers are devoted to studying this kind of operators [2, 8, 10, 11]. In [2] the class of Volterra quadratic stochastic operators was defined and the limit behavior of the trajectories was studied using the Lyapunov's function and the theory of tournaments. Moreover, the class of dissipative quadratic stochastic operators was outlined in [8] and the limit behavior of the trajectories was fully studied in [10]. In [3], the class of doubly stochastic quadratic operators was outlined, but the study of limit behavior of the trajectories is wide open. However, some results have been obtained for doubly stochastic quadratic operators. Namely, the necessary and sufficient conditions for doubly stochasticity of a quadratic operator and extreme points of the set of doubly stochastic quadratic operators were studied in [4, 7]. Moreover, the ergodic theorem for doubly stochastic operators was given in [9]. Even though, the ergodic theorem is proved for doubly stochastic operators, it does not give any information about the limit behavior of the trajectories of doubly stochastic operators. Therefore, the study of the limit behavior of the trajectories of doubly stochastic operators is still open.

The aim of this paper is to define an arbitrary (not necessarily quadratic) doubly stochastic operator and study the limit behavior of the trajectories of doubly stochastic operators. We give some necessary preliminaries in the next section. In section 3 we give the main result of the paper.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some definition from majorization theory and give the definition of doubly stochastic operator.

We define the $(m-1)-$ dimensional simplex as follows.

$$S^{m-1}=\{x=(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_m)\in R^m : x_i\geq 0,\ \forall i=\overline{1,m},\ \ \sum_{i=1}^{m}x_i=1\}.$$

The set

$$intS^{m-1}=\{x\in S^{m-1}: x_i>0,\ i=\overline{1,m}\}$$

is called the *interior* of the simplex.

For any $x=(x_1,x_2\cdots x_m)\in S^{m-1}$ due to [6], we define $x_{\downarrow}=(x_{[1]},x_{[2]},\cdots x_{[m]})$, where $x_{[1]}\geq x_{[2]}\geq\cdots\geq x_{[m]}$- nonincreasing rearrangement of x. The point $x_{\downarrow}$ is called the *rearrangement* of x by nonincreasing. Recall that for two elements x,y taken from the simplex S^{m-1} we say that an element x *majorized* by y (or y *majorates* x), and write $x\prec y$(or $y\succ x$) if the following holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}x_{[i]}\leq\sum_{i=1}^{k}y_{[i]},$$

for any $k=\overline{1,m-1}$. In fact, this definition is referred as a *weak majorization* [1], [6], the definition of majorization requires $\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_{[i]}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{[i]}$. However, since we consider points only from the simplex, we may drop this condition. We refer to monographs [1], [6] for more information concerning majorization.

A matrix $P=(p_{ij})_{i,j=\overline{1,m}}$ is called *doubly stochastic(sometimes bistochastic)*, if

$$p_{ij}\geq 0,\quad \forall i,j=\overline{1,m}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}p_{ij}=1,\ \forall j=\overline{1,m}\quad \sum_{j=1}^{m}p_{ij}=1,\ \forall i=\overline{1,m}.$$

If the entries of a doubly stochastic matrix consist of only 0's and 1's, then the matrix is a *permutation matrix.*

A linear map $T : S^{m-1} \to S^{m-1}$ is said to be $T-$ *transform*, if $T = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)P$, where I is an identity matrix, P is a permutation matrix which is obtained by swapping only two rows of I, and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$.

Lemma 2.1. [6] *For the concept of majorization and $x, y \in S^{m-1}$, the following assertions are equivalent.*

1) $x \prec y$, that is $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} x_{[i]} \le \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} y_{[i]}, \quad k = \overline{1, m-1}$.

2) $x = Py$ for some doubly stochastic matrix P.

3) The vector x belongs to the convex hull of all $m!$ permutation vectors of y.

4) The vector x can be obtained by a finite compositions of $T-$ transforms of the vector y, that is, there exist $T-$ transforms $T_1, T_2, \cdots, T_k$ such that $x = T_1 T_2 \cdots T_k y$.

So from the above lemma it follows that doubly stochasticity of a matrix P is equivalent to $Px \prec x$ for all $x \in S^{m-1}$. Motivated by this, in [3], the definition of doubly stochastic operator was given as follows.

A continuous stochastic operator $V : S^{m-1} \to S^{m-1}$ is called *doubly stochastic*, if

$$Vx \prec x$$

for all $x \in S^{m-1}$. Identity operator, permutation operators (that is the linear operators with permutation matrix) and $T-$ transforms are all doubly stochastic. The operator $V : S^2 \to S^2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} Vx &= x^2 + 2yz, \\ Vy &= y^2 + 2xz, \\ Vz &= z^2 + 2xy. \end{aligned}$$

is an example for doubly stochastic quadratic operator. Doubly stochasticity can be shown using the definition or can be obtained as the consequence of Theorem 2.6 of [4].

Let V be doubly stochastic operator and $x^0 \in S^{m-1}$. The sequence $\{x^0, V(x^0), V^2(x^0), ...\}$ is called the *trajectory starting at* x^0. Here $V^{k+1}(x^0) = V(V^k(x^0)),\ k = 1, 2, \cdots$ and we also put $V^0(x^0) = x^0$. We denote by $\omega(x^0)$ the set of limit points of the trajectory and it is said to be the $\omega-$ *limit* set of the trajectory. We say that an operator V satisfies the *ergodic theorem* , if the limit $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{x+Vx+\cdots+V^{n-1}x}{n}$ exists for any $x \in S^{m-1}$. For a doubly stochastic operator on a finite dimensional simplex the $\omega-$ limits set of any initial point is finite, hence V satisfies the ergodic theorem [9]. However, the convergence of Cesaro mean of the trajectory of dissipative q.s.o. does not give any clue about the convergence of the trajectories. Therefore, this problem will be studied separately in the following section. Note that the dissipative operator was defined as $Vx \succ x$ in [8]. The limit behavior of the trajectories of dissipative quadratic stochastic operators was studied in [10].

3. The limit behavior of trajectories

In this section study the limit behavior of the trajectories of doubly stochastic operators.

A continuous functional φ is said to be a Lyapunov function for the operator V, if the limit $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \varphi(V^n(x^0))$ exists along the trajectory $\{x^0, V(x^0), V^2(x^0), ...\}$. The Lyapunov function is considered to be very useful in the study of limit behavior of discrete dynamical systems (see [2] for example).

Theorem 3.1. *A continuous functional given by*

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2$$

is a Lyapunov function for doubly stochastic operator V. *Moreover, if* $x \in intS^{m-1}$ *and* Vx *is not the permutation of* x, *then* $\varphi(Vx) < \varphi(x)$.

Proof. Use the fact (see [6], prop. F.1, page 88.) that the function $\psi(x) = \sum\limits_{i<j} x_i x_j$ satisfies the condition that if $x \prec y$ then $\psi(x) \geq \psi(y)$. Moreover, if $x, y \in intS^{m-1}$, and x is not the permutation of y, then $\psi(x) > \psi(y)$. Taking into consideration $\varphi(x) = 1 - 2\psi(x)$, we obtain that $x \prec y$ implies $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$. Since V is doubly stochastic, then $Vx \prec x$ hence $\varphi(Vx) \prec \varphi(x)$. Further, since the simplex is compact, then the continuous functional φ is bounded. Note that the sequence $a_n = \varphi(V^n(x^0))$, where V is doubly stochastic and $x^0 \in S^{m-1}$, is monotone. Hence the limit $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \varphi(V^n(x^0))$ exists.

Now we show the second part. If $x \in intS^{m-1}$, then according to Lemma 2.1 it follows that $Vx \in intS^{m-1}$. Since all components of Vx and x are positive and Vx is not the permutation of x, then by applying the above mentioned fact, we get $\varphi(Vx) < \varphi(x)$

□

By the same way one can prove that any continuous convex (or concave) symmetric (φ is symmetric, if $\varphi(Px) = \varphi(x)$ for any permutation matrix P) functional is a Lyapunov function.

We define the following set

$$A_V = \{x \in S^{m-1} : \exists i \in \mathtt{N},\ and\, permutation\ matrix\ P\ such\ that\ V^i(x) = PV^{i-1}(x)\}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let* V *be doubly stochastic operator and* $x^0 \in intS^{m-1} \setminus A_V$. *Then the trajectory of* x^0 *under* V *tends to the center of the simplex, that is, to the point* $(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \cdots, \frac{1}{m})$.

Proof. We define the following functional:

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2.$$

According to the Theorem 3.1 $\varphi(x)$ is a Lyapunov function. Let us put

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi(V^n(x^0)) = C.$$

Since $\varphi(x)$ is a Lyapunov function and monotonically decreasing along any trajectory, then the set

$$\varphi^{-1}(C) = \{x \in intS^{m-1} \setminus A_V : \varphi(x) = C\}$$

is invariant for the operator V.

Note that the minimum value of the function $\varphi(x)$ is $\frac{1}{m}$ at the point $(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \cdots, \frac{1}{m})$. If $C \neq \frac{1}{m}$, then for $x \in intS^{m-1} \setminus A_V$ according to the second part of Theorem 3.1 we have $\varphi(Vx) < \varphi(x)$. This contradicts to the fact that $\varphi^{-1}(C)$ is invariant under the operator V. Therefore $C = \frac{1}{m}$ and $\varphi^{-1}(C) = \{(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \cdots, \frac{1}{m})\}$, which means that the trajectory tends to the center of the simplex.

□

Corollary 3.3. *The theorem holds true for those points* $x^0 \in S^{m-1}$ *for which there is a natural number* r *such that* $V^r(x) \in intS^{m-1} \setminus A_V$.

In fact, the sequence in Theorem 3.2 need not necessarily to be the orbit of doubly stochastic operator. One can generalize the above theorem as follows.

Corollary 3.4. *Let* $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n\geq 1}$ *be the sequence from* $intS^{m-1}$ *such that* $Vx^{(n+1)} \prec x^{(n)}$ *and* $x^{(n+1)}$ *is not the permutation of* $x^{(n)}$ *for any* $n \geq 1$. *Then* $x^{(n)} \to (\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \cdots, \frac{1}{m})$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. The proof goes along with the same ways as in Theorem 3.2. □

Now we are going to study the set A_V. If V is a permutation operator, then $A_V = S^{m-1}$. So the above theorem does not make a sense. However, the study limit behavior of trajectory of permutation operators is quite easy since the permutation operators act as a cyclic shift. Surely, except for permutation operators, the set A_V is not the whole simplex.

The point x^0 is called $p-$ *periodic*, if there is a number p such that $V^p(x^0) = x^0$, and $V^i(x^0) \neq x^o \; \forall i = \overline{1, p-1}$. If $p = 1$, we say that it is *fixed*. The point x^0 is called *eventually* $p-$ *periodic* if there is a number r such that $V^r(x^0)$ is $p-$ periodic. We just say periodic (or eventually periodic) if the period is irrelevant. Obviously, any periodic point is eventually periodic.

Lemma 3.5. *Eventually periodic points of the operator* V *belong to* A_V.

Proof. Let x^0 be eventually periodic point for V. Then there exist r such that $V^{rp}(x^0) = V^r(x^0)$ for some number p. Since V is doubly stochastic the relation

$$V^r(x^0) = V^{rp}(x^0) \prec V^{r(p-1)}(x^0) \prec \cdots \prec V^{2r}(x^0) \prec$$
$$\prec V^{2r-1}(x^0) \prec \cdots \prec V^{r+1}(x^0) \prec V^r(x^0)$$

implies that there is a permutation matrix such that $V^{r+1}(x^0) = PV^r(x^0)$, hence $x^0 \in A_V$.

□

Theorem 3.6. *For doubly stochastic operator and any initial point $x^0 \in intS^{m-1}$, which is not eventually periodic, the trajectory $\{x^0, V(x^0), V^2(x^0), ...\}$ tends to the center of the simplex.*

Proof. The function $\varphi(x) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2$ is monotonically decreasing along the trajectory $\{x^0, V(x^0), V^2(x^0), ...\}$. Therefore $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \varphi(V^n(x^0)) = C$ and hence $\omega(x^0) \in \varphi^{-1}(C)$ $\forall x^0 \in S^{m-1}$, which means that the trajectory of any $x^0 \in S^{m-1}$ is convergent. The trajectory $\{x^0, V(x^0), V^2(x^0), ...\}$ of the point x^0 can be rewritten as

$$\{x^0, P_1^0 x^0, P_2^0 x^0, \cdots, P_{k_0}^0 x^0, x^1, P_1^1 x^1, P_2^1 x^1, \cdots, P_{k_1}^1 x^1, x^2, \cdots\}$$

where P_j^i, $j \in \mathtt{N}$, $i = 0, 1, \cdots$ are permutation matrices, $k_j \geq 0$, $j \in \mathtt{N}$, and each x^{i+1} is not the permutation of x^i (otherwise we can add one more permutation matrix to the sequence $P_1^i, P_2^i, \cdots P_{k_i}^i$). Note that the maximum value of k_j, $(j \in \mathtt{N})$ is $m!$ (because there are $m!$ permutation matrices of order m). If there is a number j such that $P_j^i x^i = x^i, i = 0, 1, \cdots$ then the point x^0 is eventually periodic. Since we exclude eventually periodic points, then $k_j < m!$ for any $j \in \mathtt{N}$. This means that there exists an infinite subsequence $\{x^0, x^1, x^2, \cdots\}$ of the trajectory $\{x^0, V(x^0), V^2(x^0), ...\}$ such that $x^i \prec x^{i-1}$, $i \in \mathtt{N}$ and each x^{i+1} is not the permutation of x^i. Applying Corollary 3.4 we get

$$x^i \to (\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \cdots, \frac{1}{m})$$

as $i \to \infty$. Consequently,

$$V^i(x^0) \to (\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \cdots, \frac{1}{m})$$

as $i \to \infty$.

□

Corollary 3.7. *Under the doubly stochastic operator, the trajectory of any initial point from the interior of the simplex either converges to the center of the simplex, or to a finite cycle.*

Let us now consider some examples. In these particular examples, the initial point need not to be from the interior of the simplex.

Example 1. Let $V : S^2 \to S^2$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned} Vx &= x^2 + 2yz, \\ Vy &= y^2 + 2xz, \\ Vz &= z^2 + 2xy. \end{aligned}$$

The operator V is doubly stochastic [4] and has 4 fixed points

$$(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}).$$

The first three are repelling and the last is attracting. If we define the function $\varphi : S^2 \to R$ as $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, then one can see that

$$2\varphi(Vx) = 3\varphi(x)^2 - 2\varphi(x) + 1 = (3\varphi(x) - 1)(\varphi(x) - 1) + 2\varphi(x).$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{(x + y + z)^2}{3} \le x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \le (x + y + z)^2 = 1$$

implies that the maximum value of $\varphi(x)$ is 1 at fixed points $(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$ and minimum is $\frac{1}{3}$ at the point $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$. If $\varphi(x^0) \neq 1, \frac{1}{3}$ then

$$2\varphi(V(x^0)) = (3\varphi(x^0) - 1)(\varphi(x^0) - 1) + 2\varphi(x^0) < 2\varphi(x^0).$$

This means that the trajectory of any initial point, except for fixed points $(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$, converges to the center of the simplex.

Example 2. Let $V : S^2 \to S^2$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned} Vx &= z^2 + xy + xz, \\ Vy &= y^2 + xy + yz, \\ Vz &= x^2 + xz + yz. \end{aligned}$$

This operator is doubly stochastic [4]. The set of fixed points is $\{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : x = z\}$, so it has infinitely many fixed points. One can also see that all the elements of the set $\{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : y = 0\}$ are $2-$ periodic. Simple calculations show that the inequality

$$(Vx)^2 + (Vy)^2 + (Vz)^2 \le x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

can be reduced to

$$-2y(x - z)^2(x + z) \le 0.$$

The equality holds when $y = 0$ which implies that the point is periodic, or when $x = z$, that is when the point is fixed. In all other cases, the inequality is strict. This brings to the conclusion that except for periodic points the trajectory tends to the center of the simplex.

The example 2 shows that it is possible to find periodic points of a doubly stochastic operator on the simplex with period greater than 1. Moreover, it is possible to find $1-$ periodic (that is fixed) points in the interior of the simplex. More generally, the center of the simplex is always fixed for any doubly stochastic operator (see [9], Th.1). Surely, all points of the simplex are periodic for permutation operator. However, from Theorem 3.5 there naturally arises the question whether doubly stochastic operators (which are not permutation operators) possess periodic points with the period greater than one in the interior of the simplex. Unfortunately, we are not able to answer to this question.

References

[1] T.Ando, Majorization, Doubly stochastic matrices, and comparison of eigenvalues. J. Lin. Alg. Appl., 118(1989), 63-124.

[2] R.N. Ganikhodzhaev, Quadratic stochastic operators, Lyapunov functions and tournaments. Russian Acad.Sci. Sbornik.Math., 76(1993), 489-506.

[3] R.N. Ganikhodzhaev. On the definition of quadratic bistochastic operators. Russian Math. Surveys,48(1992), 244–246.

[4] R.N.Ganikhodzhaev and F.A.Shahidi, On doubly stochastic quadratic operators and Birkhoff's problem, J. Lin. Alg. Appl., 1(432)(2010), 24-35.

[5] Yu.I. Lyubich, Mathematical structures in population genetics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[6] A.Marshall I. Olkin, Inequalities: Theory of majorization and its applications, Academic press, New York-London, 1979.

[7] F.A.Shahidi, On extreme points of the set of doubly stochastic operators, Math Notes, 84(2008), 442–448.

[8] F.A. Shahidi, On dissipative quadratic stochastic operators, Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences, 2(2008), 211–223.

[9] F.A. Shahidi, Doubly stochastic quadratic operators on finite dimensional simplex, Siberian Math., Jour., 2(50)(2009), 463-468.

[10] F.A. Shahidi, M.T. Abu Osman, The limit behavior of the trajectories of dissipative quadratic stochastic operators on finite dimensional simplex, J. Difference Eq. Appl. DOI: 10.1080/10236198.2011.644281 (in press).

[11] M.I. Zakharevich On a limit behavior and ergodic hypothesis for quadratic mappings of a simplex. Russian Math.Surveys, 33(1978), 207-208.

Farruh Shahidi, Department of Computer Science Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, International Islamic University Malaysiya, P.O. BOX 10, 50728, Kuala Lumpur Malaysiya

E-mail address: `farruh.shahidi@gmail.com`

Rasul Ganikhodjaev, Department Mechanics and Mathematics, National University Uzbekistan, Vuzgorodok, 100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

E-mail address: `rganikhodjaev@gmail.com`